

99-08

STATEMENT OF POLICY

Food System Safety

Policy

The National Association of County and City Health Officials (NACCHO) supports the development of a science-based and fully funded food safety system. It should ensure local health department participation in all areas of food safety as a means to reduce foodborne illness.

Safety in the Food System and the Role of Local Health Departments

NACCHO supports the following:

- The critical role that local health departments play as the first line of defense in preventing foodborne illness at the local level.
- Local health departments' role in working with local retail food establishments to reduce foodborne illness through education efforts, inspections, licensing, training, and technical assistance.
- Effective interaction among local health departments and their state and federal counterparts to enhance the food safety system.
- Enhanced local health department workforce training to identify risks associated with purveying food to the public through active inspection and education programs.
- Policies that enhance and improve education for consumers, food handlers, retail food establishments, and other sectors of the food industry at the local level to prevent foodborne illness and intentional food contamination.
- Adoption of the most recent Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Model Food Code to promote best practices for the safety and protection of food served at retail establishments and in food service.
- Adoption and promotion of the use of the FDA Voluntary National Retail Food Regulatory Program Standards (Retail Program Standards) as a mechanism for continuous quality improvement for local food regulatory programs.
- Local health department involvement in the Partnership for Food Protection, the Food Safety Modernization Act (FSMA) working groups, Conference for Food Protection, and other relevant advisory groups aimed at preventing foodborne disease outbreaks.
- Initiatives to prepare for the food safety and security challenges associated with climate change.



- Paid sick leave to promote health by encouraging sick restaurant and food service employees to stay home and limit the spread of foodborne disease (see NACCHO's policy statement 11-07 Paid Sick Leave).
- Recognition of the local health department role in foodborne illness outbreak response efforts (See NACCHO's policy statement 13-07 Foodborne Disease Outbreak Response).
- Federal efforts to phase out the non-therapeutic use of critical antimicrobial drugs and growth hormones in food-producing animals (see NACCHO's policy statement 12-09 <u>Antimicrobials in Animals</u>).
- Local and state health department reporting of data from outbreak investigations to CDC's foodborne illness outbreak surveillance systems (National Outbreak Reporting System (NORS); National Environmental Assessment Reporting System (NEARS)^{1,2}; System for Enteric Disease Response, Investigation, and Coordination (SEDRIC) and PulseNET^{)3,4.}
- Upholding existing state and local food safety regulatory foundations. NACCHO does not support any deregulation of food safety through cottage foods, specifically the deregulation of foods requiring time and temperature control for food safety, specialized processes, and other Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) processes.

Funding Local Health Department Actions to Prevent Foodborne Disease

In funding for local health department actions to prevent foodborne disease, NACCHO:

- Supports enhanced federal, state, and local funding for local health departments to meet the basic food safety capacity and infrastructure needs for routine public health activities related to food safety education and food retail and manufacturing inspection.
- Urges Congress to appropriate funds to support activities authorized in the Food Safety Modernization Act.
- Supports increased federal and state funding for foodborne-illness research, a student education subsidy, and training for the current and future local public health workforce as effective means to protect people from disease and enhance prevention of foodborne illnesses at the local level and throughout the larger food safety system.
- Supports additional federal, state, and local funding to build and improve communications, coordination, and partnerships throughout the food safety system.
- Supports the practice of fee-for-services to ensure continued local funding for retail food inspections and recognition that the retail food industry supports these activities.
- Endorses the inspector and inspection ratio as described in the Retail Program Standard's Standard 8: Program Support and Resources.

Justification

Foodborne illness in the United States is estimated to cause 48 million cases of illness, over 128,000 hospitalizations, and 3,000 deaths each year.⁵ Salmonella alone costs \$365 million annually in direct medical expenses.⁶ While everyone is susceptible to foodborne disease, 66 million Americans are especially vulnerable to foodborne illness. These populations include children, pregnant women, people with disabilities, the elderly, and individuals with compromised immune systems.⁷ Preventing foodborne illness remains one of public health's greatest challenges.

Protecting food safety in the retail setting is an important component of any food safety system. About a third of all meals are eaten outside of the home, meaning that almost half of all consumer food expenditures go toward food made in the retail setting (restaurants, delis, etc.).⁸ Furthermore, more than half of the foodborne illness outbreaks that occur each year are associated with food produced in the retail setting.⁹ Critical risk factors such as poor personal hygiene, improper food handling, and contaminated food surfaces and equipment remain a significant problem in the retail setting and affect the safety of food at the local level.¹⁰

It is crucial that local health departments work with local retail food establishments such as schools, restaurants, nursing homes, and grocery stores to reduce the risk of foodborne disease at the local level. According to a 2019 survey of local health departments conducted by NACCHO, 78% of local health departments regulate food service establishments and 78% conduct food safety education activities.¹¹

Paid sick leave for food service workers and health department inspection staff could help to limit the spread of foodborne disease in retail food establishments. For example, the CDC found that infected food workers transmitted 70% of foodborne noroviruses.¹² According to the Department of Labor, 75% of hospitality and food service workers do not have paid sick leave.¹³ In 2022, only 52% of food service workers had paid sick time.¹⁴ In a survey conducted of food workers, nearly 90% responded that they went to work sick. Of those who went to work sick, 45% said they worked because they could not afford to lose pay.¹⁵ These disparities in paid sick leave access disproportionately expose Black and Latino workers, who largely make up the lowwage workforce, to an increased risk of illness.^{16,17} These health disparities were compounded by the COVID-19 pandemic. Additionally, since food service workers interact with the public, they are not only more likely to be exposed to contagious illnesses, but also more likely to spread illnesses if they go to work sick. For example, 46% of restaurant-associated illness outbreaks involve an infected food service worker.¹⁶ At the end of 2021, 16 states as well as many municipalities have enacted paid sick leave laws and ordinances.¹⁷ However, a critical step towards advancing health equity and public health would be the establishment of a national paid sick leave policy.

In order to work effectively with retail food establishments, local health departments need a legal framework that is cognizant of local independence, fully funds the work they do, and enables them to apply "practical, science-based guidance and enforceable provisions for mitigating risk factors known to cause foodborne illness."¹⁸ Expanding resources at the local level helps prevent potential foodborne outbreaks and control the spread of illness. Federal funds allocated to local health departments for food safety have been modest. Increased financial support is necessary to help local health departments continue to further enhance their surveillance, investigation, and control of foodborne disease outbreaks.

The FDA Food Code provides a model that state and local governments can adopt to ensure that their licensing and inspections programs are using the most up-to-date, scientific approaches to guide their food regulatory program requirements. Furthermore, as local health departments strive for excellence within their food regulatory programs, the FDA Program Standards provides a continuous quality improvement mechanism that local health departments can implement. The FDA Retail Program Standards recommend a staffing level of one fulltime equivalent (FTE) devoted to food for every 280–320 inspections performed. Inspections for purposes of this calculation include routine inspections, re-inspections, complaint investigations, outbreak investigations, compliance follow-up inspections, risk assessment reviews, process reviews, variance process reviews, and other direct establishment contact time such as on-site training.¹⁹ Less than 3% of jurisdictions enrolled in the Retail Program Standards have been verified as meeting this recommended level of staffing.²⁰ An alternative method for achieving compliance with the staffing level was approved at the Conference for Food Protection (CFP) biennial meeting, held in 2021. This alternative method allows local health departments to demonstrate adequate staffing levels by using their own method, the current assessment tool developed by CFP, or the updated CFP assessment tool that calculates staffing levels by risk category.²¹

References

- 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2016). National Outbreak Reporting System (NORS). Retrieved on October 12, 2016 from <u>http://www.cdc.gov/nors/.</u>
- 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2016). National Environmental Assessment Reporting System (NEARS). Retrieved on October 12, 2016 from http://www.cdc.gov/nceh/ehs/nears/.
- 3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Retrieved on February 9, 2023 from <u>SEDRIC: System for</u> <u>Enteric Disease Response, Investigation, and Coordination - CDC</u>
- 4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Retrieved on February 9, 2023 from <u>PulseNet | PulseNet |</u> <u>CDC</u>.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2011). CDC 2011 estimates of foodborne illness in the United States. Retrieved on September 9, 2019 from <u>http://www.cdc.gov/foodborneburden/2011http://www.cdc.gov/foodborneburden/2011-</u> foodborne-estimates.htmlfoodborne-estimates.html.
- 6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2011). Making food safer to eat: Reducing contamination from the farm to the tables. *Vital Signs*, June 2011. Retrieved on August 29, 2016 from http://www.cdc.gov/vitalsigns/foodsafety.
- 7. Lund, B. and O'Brien S. (2011). The occurrence and prevention of foodborne disease in vulnerable
- people. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease*, 8(9). Retrieved on October 6, 2016 from http://online.liebertpub.com/doi/pdfplus/10.1089/fpd.2011.0860.
- Department of Agriculture. Let's eat out: Americans weigh taste, convenience, and nutrition. Retrieved on November 23, 2022 from https://www.ers.usda.gov/webdocs/publications/44117/29269 eib19.pdf?v=3545.3
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2017). Surveillance for Foodborne Disease Outbreaks, United States, 2017, Annual Report. Retrieved on November 22, 2022 from www.cdc.gov/fdoss/pdf/2017 FoodBorneOutbreaks 508.pdf
- Food and Drug Administration. (2010). Backgrounder: FDA retail food risk factor study. Retrieved on from <u>http://www.fda.gov/food/foodsafety/retailfoodprotection/foodborneillnessandriskfactorreduction/retailfo</u> odri skf actorstudies/ucm230313.htm.
- 11. National Association of County and City Health Officials. (2020). NACCHO. 2019 National profile of local health departments. Retrieved November 18, 2022 from NACCHO 2019 Profile final.pdf
- 12. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2019). CDC Vital Signs, "Preventing Norovirus
- Outbreaks," Retrieved on November 18, 2022 from http://www.cdc.gov/vitalsigns/norovirus/.
- United States Department of Labor. (2015). Getting the Facts on Paid Sick Time. Retrieved on September 27, 2016 from <u>https://digital.library.unt.edu/ark:/67531/metadc955137/m2/1/high res d/Fact Sheet on Paid Sick Lea</u>

https://digital.library.unt.edu/ark:/67531/metadc955137/m2/1/high_res_d/Fact_Sheet_on_Paid_Sick_Lea ve.pdf

- Center for American Progress. (2023). The State of Paid Sick Time in the U.S. in 2023. Retrieved on January 24, 2023 from <u>https://www.americanprogress.org/article/the-state-of-paid-sick-time-in-the-u-s-in-2023/</u>
- 15. Center for Research and Public Policy and Alchemy. (2015). The Mind of the Food Worker—Behaviors and Perceptions that Impact Safety and Operations, Retrieved on September 27, 2016 from http://cdn2.hubspot.net/hubfs/403157/Mind_of_the_Food_Worker_Report.pdf?submissionGuid=7e8f33a

 a _ 26e6-40d2-addc-712fb28bda3a.
- 16. National Partnership for Women and Families. (2022). Paid Sick Days Improve Public Health. Retrieved on January 24, 2023 from <u>www.nationalpartnership.org/our-work/resources/economic-justice/paid-sick-days/paid-sick-days-improve-our-public-health.pdf</u>
- 17. County Health Rankings and Roadmaps. (2022). Paid sick leave laws. Retrieved January 24, 2023 from <u>https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/paid-sick-leave-laws</u>
- Food and Drug Administration. (2017). FDA Food Code 2017: Joint Introduction to the 2017 Food Code. Retrieved on November 23, 2022 from <u>https://www.fda.gov/food/fda-food-code/food-code-2017</u>
- 19. Food and Drug Administration. *Voluntary National Retail Food Regulatory Program Standards January 2022*. Retrieved on November 18, 2022 from <u>https://www.fda.gov/media/86864/download</u>.
- Food and Drug Administration. Listing of Jurisdictions Enrolled in the Voluntary National Retail Food Regulatory Program Standards. Retrieved on November 18, 2022 from https://www.fda.gov/media/157674/download.
- 21. Conference for Food Protection. (2021). Standard 8-Staffing Levels. Retrieved on January 18, 2023 from http://www.foodprotect.org/guides-documents/standard-8-staffing-levels/

Record of Action

Proposed by NACCHO Food Safety Workgroup Approved by NACCHO Board of Directors November 7, 1999 Updated March 2008 Updated May 2013 Updated October 2016 Updated February 2020 Updated July 2023