

14-06

STATEMENT OF POLICY

Enteric Disease Testing

Policy

Knowledge of the clinical and epidemiologic features of acute gastroenteritis (AGE) such as salmonellosis, campylobacteriosis, and shiga-toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) (e.g., *E. coli* 0157), has been developed through the study of culture-confirmed infections.¹ These infections are mainly foodborne and therefore preventable. However, successful control of such illnesses may be at risk because AGE diagnostics are moving away from culture and are being replaced by non-culture methods. Retaining the capacity for culture-based diagnostic testing or its equivalent is very important in the medical care and public health sectors.

The National Association of County and City Health Officials (NACCHO) advocates that all positive results from non-culture assays used by clinical laboratories to detect foodborne disease pathogens of public health concern be confirmed through culture-based (or its equivalent) identification methods. Isolates should be fully characterized. To sustain the capacity for culture-based or equivalent testing for AGE, NACCHO urges the federal government to fund laboratories and implement policies and regulations that promote such testing.

Justification

Foodborne illnesses are a serious public health and economic issue in the United States. Each year, there are an estimated 48 million cases of illness, resulting in approximately 128,000 hospitalizations and 3,000 deaths. It is estimated that foodborne illnesses cost the United States \$125 billion each year.² Prevention and control measures depend upon coordination between public health regulations, investigations, and (currently) culture-based laboratory services. The proliferation of rapid non-culture-based laboratory tests is economically inviting (less costly) and clinically sound (results are available sooner and are actionable). An unfortunate consequence of the increasing use of non-culture diagnostic tests is that such diagnostics do not provide isolates for testing necessary for public health disease investigation and prevention purposes. It is the isolates that are used for further microbiologic and nucleic acid sub-type testing that can link cases to exposures and to each other.

The implementation of non-culture diagnostic methods introduces a bias in the surveillance of AGE. For example, public health surveillance for STEC has been based on culture-confirmed cases of *E. coli* 0157.³ Moving to non-culture testing will affect the number of cases being reported and will compromise investigations and any analysis of trends over time.^{4,5} In addition, the sensitivity, specificity, and the associated positive and negative predictive values of antigen tests will differ from those of culture. This also makes it difficult to include the results of such tests in the reportable disease roster.



The public health laboratory system is a network of local, state, and national laboratories, working in partnership with epidemiologists, that plays a key role in the prevention and control of communicable infectious diseases. The laboratory staff supporting this system do so by providing epidemiologists with population-based laboratory surveillance data to detect and investigate outbreaks of infectious diseases and to monitor significant trends in the development of antibiotic resistance and altered pathogenicity. Several national surveillance programs are built on this laboratory network, including PulseNet,⁶ the National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System (NARMS),⁷ and other non-food-related pathogen-tracking systems. They all require the continuous availability of microbial culture isolates for analysis. Without adequate numbers of such isolates as the starting material for the laboratory findings that populate relevant databases, the effectiveness of these national surveillance systems will be severely compromised.

New policies are needed to address this risk to the public's health. Decisions about implementing new tests in clinical laboratories are usually based on cost, ease of use, sensitivity, and specificity. The test results' relevance to public health purposes are less likely to be emphasized when deciding which tests to use. All of these aspects need to be considered before new diagnostic tests and methods are implemented in clinical laboratories.^{8,9} If laboratories are not submitting isolates (or their equivalents) to public health labs for a more complete set of characterizations, there is a risk that the surveillance, prevention, and control of foodborne diseases will become unreliable and unsuitable for eliminating such threats to the health of the community.

References

1. Jones, T.F., & Gerner-Schmidt, P. (2012). Nonculture diagnostic tests for enteric diseases. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.*; 18(3):513-514.
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Estimates of foodborne illness in the United States webpage. Retrieved June 11, 2014, from <http://www.cdc.gov/foodborneburden/index.html>
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Shiga-toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*; 2005 case definition. National Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System. Retrieved June 11, 2014, from http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph_surveillance/nndss/casedef/shiga_current.htm
4. Hedican, E.B., Medus, C., Besser, J.M., Juni, B.A., Koziol, B., Taylor, C., et al. (2009). Characteristics of O157 versus non-O157 Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* infections in Minnesota, 2000-2006. *Clin. Infect. Dis.*; 49:358-364.
5. Hadler, J.L., Clogher, P., Hurd, S., Phan, Q., Mandour, M., Nennis, K., et al. (2011). Ten year trends and risk factors for non-O157 Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* found during Shiga toxin testing. Connecticut, 2000-2009. *Clin. Infect. Dis.*; 53:269-276.
6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. PulseNet: The national molecular subtyping Network for Foodborne Disease Surveillance, 2011. Retrieved June 11, 2014, from <http://www.cdc.gov/pulsenet>
7. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. NARMS: National Microbial Resistance Monitoring System, 2011. Retrieved June 11, 2014, from <http://www.cdc.gov/narms/>
8. Stigi, K.A., MacDonald, J.K., Telez-Martin, A.A., & Lofy, K.H. (2012). Laboratory practices and incidence of non-O157 Shiga-toxin producing *Escherichia coli* infections. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.*; 18:477-449.
9. M'ikanatha, N.M., Dettinger, L.A., Perry, A., Rogers, P., Reynolds, S.M., & Nachamkin, I. (2012). Culturing stool specimens for *Campylobacter* spp., Pennsylvania, USA, 2010. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.*; 18:484-487.

Record of Action

Proposed by NACCHO Epidemiology, Infectious Disease Prevention and Control, and Food Safety Workgroups

Approved by NACCHO Board of Directors July 8, 2014