

Table 1: Percentage of LHDs with Budget Cuts and Percentage Receiving Stimulus Funds, by State

State	Decrease in Current Year's Budget	Anticipated Decrease in Next Year's Budget	Received Economic Stimulus Funding	Number of Responses ^a
AL	12%	16%	60%	19
AR	35%	44%	0%	9
AZ	40%	47%	60%	6-7
CA	97%	65%	33%	14-18
CO	62%	77%	8%	11-12
CT	39%	43%	0%	16-23
DE	100%	100%	100%	2
FL	53%	60%	13%	17
GA	81%	65%	0%	13
IA	25%	42%	62%	22-25
ID	100%	50%	0%	2
IL	53%	85%	18%	16-17
IN	26%	37%	9%	18-24
KS	12%	35%	5%	21-24
KY	43%	51%	15%	11-13
LA	80%	60%	-- ^b	5
MA	49%	50%	0%	43-50
MD	88%	88%	63%	8
ME	100%	100%	50%	2
MI	71%	71%	0%	10
MN	41%	45%	47%	17-18
MO	33%	46%	4%	23-31
MS	11%	56%	44%	3
MT	26%	20%	5%	11
NC	56%	19%	13%	15-16
ND	0%	25%	-- ^b	9
NE	38%	17%	13%	6-7
NH	0%	0%	50%	2
NJ	41%	47%	8%	17-19
NM	80%	50%	0%	4
NV	53%	53%	36%	5-6
NY	9%	33%	35%	12-13
OH	43%	82%	13%	27-29
OK	83%	70%	10%	13-16
OR	44%	18%	50%	10-12
SC	100%	75%	0%	3-4
TN	38%	49%	6%	14-20
TX	28%	30%	10%	22-25
UT	91%	91%	33%	5
VA	83%	74%	11%	10-12
VT	100%	100%	0%	4
WA	74%	64%	3%	8
WI	17%	36%	1%	19-21
WV	39%	22%	16%	8
WY	46%	70%	0%	7-8
Overall	45%	50%	14%	548-615^c

^a The number of responses varied by question. The range is shown here.

^b Insufficient data

^c States with insufficient response have been omitted from this table. However, totals reflect all responses.

BACKGROUND

The National Association of County and City Health Officials (NACCHO) surveyed a sample of local health departments (LHDs) nationwide in the months of July and August 2009 to measure the impact of current economic conditions on LHDs' budgets, workforce, and programs. These tables supplement the overview of findings.

METHODOLOGY

In the months of July and August 2009, NACCHO surveyed 990 LHDs. These LHDs were selected as part of a statistically random sample designed to provide both national and state-level estimates. A total of 623 LHDs distributed across 48 states responded for a response rate of 63 percent. All statistics reported were developed using appropriate weights to account for both sampling and non-response. All data in this study were self-reported; NACCHO did not independently verify the data provided by LHDs.

Table 2: Percentage of LHDs with Cuts in Staffing from January to June 2009, by State

State	Loss of Staff (Layoffs & Attrition)	Reduced Staff Time (Hours Cut & Furlough)	Number of Responses ^a
AL	45%	0%	18-19
AR	44%	0%	8-9
AZ	71%	33%	5-6
CA	89%	31%	14-15
CO	37%	39%	10-11
CT	49%	29%	16
DE	100%	0%	2
FL	75%	6%	16
GA	40%	34%	12-13
IA	23%	21%	22
ID	100%	50%	2
IL	66%	37%	16
IN	18%	13%	18-19
KS	35%	35%	21
KY	54%	20%	8-10
MA	36%	20%	36-42
MD	88%	88%	8
ME	100%	50%	2
MI	93%	51%	10
MN	51%	14%	17
MO	23%	19%	24-26
MS	0%	0%	2-3
MT	24%	5%	10-11
NC	87%	25%	14-16
NE	29%	0%	6
NH	100%	50%	2
NJ	24%	17%	15-18
NM	80%	0%	4
NV	21%	36%	6
NY	69%	14%	11-12
OH	73%	33%	26-27
OK	71%	9%	12-13
OR	44%	71%	9-10
SC	100%	100%	4-5
TN	38%	1%	15
TX	22%	10%	22
UT	100%	33%	5
VA	100%	26%	10
VT	100%	0%	3-4
WA	82%	41%	8
WI	33%	15%	18
WV	37%	39%	7-8
WY	39%	22%	7
Overall	47%	22%	521-546^b

Please see Table 1 for important information on background and methods.

^a The number of responses varied slightly by question. The range is shown here.

^b States with insufficient response have been omitted from this table. However, totals reflect all responses.

Table 3: Percentage of LHDs with Program Cuts in Past 12 Months (from approximately July 2008 to June 2009), by State

State	Cuts to at Least One Program	Cuts to 3 or More Programs	Number of Responses
AL	30%	0%	19
AR	0%	0%	9
AZ	100%	93%	6
CA	87%	61%	14
CO	74%	34%	11
CT	60%	23%	16
DE	100%	50%	2
FL	71%	47%	17
GA	48%	15%	13
IA	35%	13%	22
ID	100%	50%	2
IL	69%	34%	16
IN	50%	13%	18
KS	67%	35%	21
KY	46%	38%	10
MA	48%	18%	42
MD	63%	63%	8
ME	100%	50%	2
MI	93%	60%	10
MN	70%	26%	17
MO	57%	32%	26
MS	0%	0%	3
MT	24%	16%	10
NC	43%	25%	16
NE	58%	0%	6
NH	50%	50%	2
NJ	53%	31%	18
NM	80%	80%	4
NV	93%	57%	6
NY	83%	26%	12
OH	67%	55%	27
OK	80%	35%	13
OR	74%	50%	10
SC	100%	100%	4
TN	41%	17%	15
TX	44%	25%	22
UT	100%	42%	5
VA	77%	46%	10
VT	27%	0%	4
WA	85%	74%	8
WI	36%	7%	19
WV	16%	0%	8
WY	100%	39%	7
Overall	55%	27%	547^a

Please see Table 1 for important information on background and methods.

^a States with insufficient response have been omitted from this table. However, totals reflect all responses.