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MAPP OVER THE YEARS

Developed in 2001, the National Association of County and City Health Officials (NACCHO’s) Mobilizing for Action through Planning and Partnerships (MAPP) framework is now one of the most widely used and reputable community health improvement (CHI) frameworks in the field. MAPP provides a structure for communities to assess their most pressing population health issues and align resources across sectors for strategic action. It emphasizes the integral role of broad stakeholders and community engagement; the need for policy, systems, and environmental change (PSE) change; and alignment of community resources toward shared goals. The process results in a community health (needs) assessment (CH[N]A)\(^1\) and a community health improvement plan (CHIP).

MAPP’s creation was in response to a national imperative to shift from traditional program and organizational strategic planning to a community owned, systems thinking approach that considers the complex and evolving challenges faced uniquely by public health. Over the years, NACCHO, CDC, and HRSA have evolved MAPP to align with national strategies through

\(^1\) The Public Health Accreditation Board (PHAB) requires health departments to complete a community health assessment (CHA) and the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) requires non-profit hospitals and health centers, respectively, to complete a community health (needs) assessment CH[N]A. These terms are often used interchangeably. CH[N]A is used throughout this document to be inclusive of terminology used across sectors.
guidance on evolving CHI requirements across sectors; integrating CDC’s Local Public Health System Assessment (LPHSA); elevating MAPP as a foundation for health equity; and reinforcing national initiatives and frameworks such as the 10 Essential Public Health Services, Public Health Accreditation, and Healthy People. The first national evaluation of MAPP concluded in 2019, revealing that MAPP is effective at engaging in CHI processes, including initiating cross-sector partnerships, gathering community perspectives, meeting accreditation requirements, and raising awareness of health equity. However, it provided foundational evidence for the need to further embed health equity and community engagement in MAPP, revise the framework to be more adaptable and responsive to community needs, facilitate sustained partner engagement, and offer more advanced training and guidance on this complex work.

THE CASE FOR EVOLVING MAPP

The public health field is credited with improving life expectancy through interventions such as sanitation, vaccinations, and food safety; however, not everyone has had the same opportunity to benefit. It is well documented that one’s zip code is a greater predictor of health outcomes than genetic code, but it was not until the recent COVID-19 pandemic that the inextricable link between health inequities and social, economic, and political inequities resulting from centuries of structural racism and discrimination has gained widespread attention. Covid-19 mortality rates are more than twice as high in Black, Latinx, and Indigenous populations as in White populations, and the data reveal a strong overlay with socioeconomic status. Inequities will continue to exacerbate as we face imminent public health threats if we do not align resources and mobilize communities to change the systems and structures that generated the inequities.
“[MAPP] is widely considered to be the ‘gold standard’ for conducting this kind of work. It has been used historically so there is a precedent and a general familiarity within the community.” — MAPP Evaluation Respondent

It is imperative that public health work with other sectors to move beyond traditional and more remedial health and human services to policy, systems, and environmental (PSE) change. While a health equity supplement was added to the MAPP framework in 2014, it was never an explicit foundational principle of MAPP and little guidance was offered to take action on the social determinants of health (SDOH) and much less on the root causes of health inequity. The evaluation revealed that most MAPP communities are still primarily focused on more traditional public health interventions with limited success in sustaining partnerships or engaging those most impacted by inequities.

Across the country, counties are declaring racism as a public health crisis, health departments and local government are establishing offices of health equity, and collaboration with community organizers is becoming more common. With public health evolving to take a more active role in combatting health inequities, this MAPP redesign is perfectly timed to also coincide with the evolution of other national initiatives like the 10 Essential Public Health Services, the PHAB Standards and Measures Version 2.0, and Healthy People 2030, which are all shifting to more explicitly focus on health equity.
THE MAPP EVOLUTION PROCESS

To address recommendations highlighted in the guidance, NACCHO is spearheading a MAPP evolution process through an exploration of field needs, promising practices, and expert guidance. To answer the questions (see Table 1), NACCHO convened a 23-member, multi-disciplinary MAPP Evolution Steering Committee (MAPP ESC), which guided the process; facilitated focus groups and key informant interviews with diverse public health and healthcare practitioners and experts in health equity and CHI practice; and conducted an environmental scan of the literature and field strategies to inform the MAPP revisions.

Moving from understanding the existing challenges and opportunities in the historical MAPP framework to the redesign and delivery of a revised MAPP framework grounded in evolving public health needs, Figure 1 summarizes the four phases of the MAPP evolution process. NACCHO intends that by Fall 2023, this process will result in a complete MAPP framework redesign and enhanced training, technical assistance, and resources to better enable communities to improve population health through community health improvement.

Figure 1: MAPP Evolution Timeline*

*This anticipated timeline may change, based upon funding and the content development process.
The remainder of this document summarizes the work from the Diagnose and Decide phases, outlining proposed changes to the MAPP framework and next steps for the redesign. The evolution timeline and all proposed revisions in this document are subject to change based on funding and practitioner feedback as NACCHO continues to engage stakeholders.

**MAPP REDESIGN: THE FOUNDATIONAL PRINCIPLES**

Developed with the MAPP ESC and field input, this section proposes a set of foundational principles articulating the guiding values for the MAPP redesign and a vision for CHI as a community-led process to improve population health.

- **Equity.** Encourages shared exploration of the social injustices including structural racism, class oppression, and gender oppression, that create and perpetuate inequities. Mobilizes community action to address these injustices through transformative change to the structures and systems that perpetuate inequities and creates the opportunity for all to achieve optimal health.

- **Inclusion.** Fosters belonging and prevents othering by identifying and eliminating barriers to community participation and ensuring all stakeholders and community members, regardless of background or experience, can contribute to the MAPP process.

- **Trusted Relationships.** Builds connection and trust by honoring the knowledge, expertise, and voice of community members and stakeholders.

- **Community Power.** Actively builds community power to ensure those most impacted by the inequities and actions addressed through CHI are those that guide the process, make key decisions, and help drive action.
Health Equity, Community Engagement, and MAPP

The MAPP evaluation revealed that while MAPP communities are successfully engaging in a CH[N]A/CHIP processes that resulted in effective action to improve health, overall many of those communities did not make significant progress in acting on root causes of inequity through their CHI processes. A central question during the initial phases of MAPP Evolution was whether a health equity focus is appropriate for MAPP. Although communities acknowledged the barriers of MAPP through an equity lens such as limited funding, lack of concrete guidance, inconsistent understanding across partners, and political or regulatory barriers; overwhelmingly, the field supports full integration of health equity into MAPP with formal supports and guidance to facilitate success across diverse communities.

Health equity, or “the state in which all people and populations have the opportunity to achieve optimal health,” is naturally aligned with the goal of improving population health which is defined by a shift from individual health behaviors and risk factors to examining the social and structural contexts that impact entire populations and lead to disparate distribution of outcomes. A MAPP redesign focused on health equity provides the structure many communities need to act on inequities. A lack of shared understanding of health equity and related concepts prevents communities from moving upstream to address the root causes of inequity. NACCHO proposes a set of...
Health inequities vs. Health disparities. MAPP makes a distinction between health disparities which merely implies differences in outcomes across groups and health inequities which implies unfair and unjust differences. Health inequities are the “preventable differences in the distribution of disease, and death that are systematic, patterned, unjust, and associated with imbalances in power and systems of oppression.”

To achieve health equity, communities must move beyond only treating and mitigating health disparities and also actively address the power imbalances and systems of oppression that create and perpetuate inequity.

Social Needs vs. Social Determinants of Health (SDOH). There is widespread recognition that clinical care does not prevent illness and social factors like economic and housing stability must also be addressed. Social needs and SDOH are often conflated; while social needs are, the “immediate needs of individuals in a community such as food, housing, transportation, or access to care,” SDOH are the “the community-wide conditions in which people are born, grow, live, work, learn, and age and the fundamental drivers of these conditions.” MAPP recognizes the importance of addressing social needs while also emphasizing cross-sectoral partnerships to collectively make systems and policy change to improve the SDOH.

definitions that will create a shared narrative and guide the MAPP redesign. As the field works to address inequities, scholarship is continuously evolving, thus these definitions are dynamic and subject to updates based on new knowledge.
• **Root causes of health inequity.** Similarly, SDOH are often used interchangeably with the root causes of health inequity, the “underlying political, social, and economic systems that create imbalances in power and resources across groups to perpetuate inequities.” Examples include systemic racism, class inequity, and other forms of oppression. Community improvement efforts that do not consider root causes of inequity will continue to mitigate symptoms of the larger problem.

• **Power.** Power is “the ability to control the processes of agenda setting, resource distribution, and decision-making, as well as to determine who is included and excluded from these processes.” Power imbalances contribute to various forms of oppressions and are crucial to making the shift from mitigating inequities to actively confronting the root causes of health inequity through collective power building strategies.

• **Community power** is defined as “the ability of communities most impacted by structural inequity to develop, sustain and grow an organized base of people who act together through democratic structures to set agendas, shift public discourse, influence who makes decisions, and cultivate ongoing relationships of mutual accountability with decision-makers that change systems and advance health equity.”

Bringing together the themes identified through field input and best practices in achieving health equity, the following list of requirements will guide the integration of health equity into the new MAPP framework.

• **Health equity theory of social change:** MAPP’s theory of change will transition health equity from concept to action by connecting the steps of CHI to the outcome of a more just and equitable society.

• **Shared exploration of health equity:** Foster collaborative exploration of health equity among partners and community to establish a shared language around how the same power imbalances that lead to racial inequities extend to inequities across class, culture, and gender, to offer more politically conservative or rural communities with tools to discuss equity with partners.

• **Broaden partnerships:** Strengthen guidance around expanding partnerships to include community organizers and those who are directly connected to building community trust and power and who are positioned to make policy, systems, and environmental (PSE) change.

• **Community engagement and building power:** Community engagement in MAPP will go beyond gathering community voice to creating a community-owned agenda by recognizing the role of power imbalances and developing community power.

• **Health equity data informed action:** Promote collection of health equity data and disaggregating to identify inequities across all communities to ensure targeted action.
• **Full-spectrum action**: Facilitate actions ranging from individual services to structural change and promote both transaction approaches which work from within existing structures to address issue-specific efforts, such as food insecurity or poverty relief\(^{19,20,21}\), and transformational approaches which work across institutions to shift values and political will to permanently alter the way that systems and institutions operate, impacting multiple issues."\(^{22,23,24}\)

**Community Engagement**

Any process like MAPP that is aimed at health equity must include community engagement as a cornerstone. There is a growing expectation across communities historically excluded from decision making that they should not only be engaged in decision making that directly impacts them, but also in designing solutions to improve their communities. In the absence of authentic community engagement, improvement efforts are less likely to meet actual needs, honor community identity, or leverage existing strengths and resources. If done without caution, attempts at community engagement may lead to harm by further perpetuating inequity, frustrating community members, squandering of resources that do not address actual needs, stigmatization, imposing trauma, and mistrust. While the case for community engagement is clear and has been communicated as a core tenet of MAPP, the actual practice remains unclear to practitioners. Historical MAPP training and resources did not clearly define community engagement and the MAPP evaluation revealed it as one of the most frequently requested areas for additional guidance.
“... participation without the redistribution of power is an empty and frustrating process for the powerless. It allows powerholders to claim that all sides were considered, but it makes it possible for only some of those sides to benefit. It maintains the status quo.” — Sherry Arnstein

The CDC defines community engagement as “the process of working collaboratively with and through groups of people affiliated by geographic proximity, special interest, or similar situations to address issues affecting the well-being of those people.” Community engagement efforts have been primarily focused on gathering input or one-way communication which infrequently translates to action. Authentic community engagement cannot happen without addressing power imbalances that have historically excluded marginalized communities and groups of people from decision making and control over programs, policies, and decisions that directly impact them.

Exercising community engagement must involve community power building, which is “a set of strategies used by communities most impacted by structural inequity to develop, sustain and grow an organized base of people who act together through democratic structures to set agendas, shift public discourse, influence who makes decisions and cultivate ongoing relationships of mutual accountability with decision-makers that change systems and advance health equity.”

To integrate community engagement more fully into MAPP, NACCHO is informally adopting Arnstein’s Ladder of Citizen Control, which defines community engagement efforts across a spectrum from non-participation to citizen power. MAPP guidance will provide a framework for assessing current levels of community engagement and offer concrete strategies for increasing the community’s power to set its own agenda in the MAPP process.
Specific guidance will include community power building, cultivating community trust, honoring community culture, responsible and transparent use of data, and working with community organizing agencies for base building. This includes a set of diverse strategies to support community members to be in a relationship with one another; invest in each other’s leadership; share a common identity shaped by similar experiences and an understanding of the root causes of their conditions; and to use their collective analysis to create solutions and strategize to achieve them.

**MEETING FIELD NEEDS:**
**MAPP RE-DESIGN SOLUTIONS**

Extensive field feedback on MAPP user needs was collected through both the MAPP evaluation and evolution process from multiple user groups with a focus on big city, rural and Tribal health departments, the healthcare sector, including hospital systems and health centers, and MAPP coordinators. These data were triangulated, and the following themes emerged describing limitations of MAPP and desired revisions to the framework. Field needs outlined in Figure 2 here were used to identify MAPP re-design solutions and drive the proposed revisions to MAPP phases and assessments.
Figure 2: MAPP Field Needs and Re-design Solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field Needs</th>
<th>MAPP Re-design Solutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Simplified Process</strong></td>
<td>- Reduced number of MAPP phases from six to three</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Simplified process to address issues with perceived complexity of the process. Specifically, all user groups highlighted the LPHSA as lengthy, redundant, and complex.</em></td>
<td>- New, more streamlined assessments (reduced from four to three)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- LPHSA replaced with a simpler, more inclusive, and equity focused Community Partners Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adaptable Process</strong></td>
<td>- Starting Point Assessment diagnosing CHI readiness across domains such as resources, partnerships, data infrastructure, and health equity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Adaptability to a community’s unique needs based on resources, experience, and context.</em></td>
<td>- Tailored pathways for CHI based on a community’s starting point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flexible and Responsive Timeline</strong></td>
<td>- Abandon discrete and repetitive MAPP cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Due to requirements across sectors, communities repeat all six MAPP phases and four assessments every 3-5 years which leads to redundancy, burnout across partners, outdated information, depletion of resources, and delayed response to emerging needs. There is a need to shift from discrete 3-5 year CHI cycles to ongoing and continuous improvement.</em></td>
<td>- Embed principles of continuous learning and improvement into the assessments which will be updated as data become available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Integrate continuous quality improvement to make ongoing, small-scale improvements on long-range CHIP priorities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Partner Engagement Guidance</strong></td>
<td>- Explicit steps for trust building integrated throughout phases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Partnerships are foundational to MAPP, however; additional structure and guidance was most frequently requested throughout the MAPP evolution process to address challenges including the need for jargon-free communications, engaging non-traditional partners, and sustaining partnerships through the action cycle.</em></td>
<td>- A strategic collaboration and alignment tool will match partner resources and missions with CHI needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Assessment of partnership strength and assets in Phase 1 to strategically guide partner engagement through Phases 2 and 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Jargon-free communication tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community Engagement Guidance</strong></td>
<td>- Diagnose community engagement level at the onset and offer targeted strategies for building trust and citizen control over CHI will be integrated in each step</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Community engagement has been central to MAPP, but the actual practice remains unclear to the field. Historical guidance did not push communities beyond soliciting input to transfer power over CHI to the community. MAPP users reported negative consequences such as frustration and mistrust as community input rarely translated to action.</em></td>
<td>- Power analyses tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Example CHIP strategies and actions for transferring power to the community throughout CHI process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Emphasis on working with community organizers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Community engagement tools, templates, and in-depth training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MAPP Evolution Blueprint: Executive Summary [15]
| Stronger Health Equity Integration | • Conditions for integrating health equity in the CHI process will be diagnosed at the onset and targeted strategies will be suggested for moving upstream in each assessment and phase  
• Baseline requirements for health equity will be built into the redesign with more guidance for communities ready to move further upstream  
• Strategy bank for achieving health equity  
• Health equity communication tools, facilitation guidance, and in-depth training |
|---|---|
| Very few MAPP communities reported upstream action and the field reported that MAPP guidance falls short of helping broad stakeholders develop a shared understanding of health equity, utilizing data to identify health inequities, and implementing concrete actions to address inequities. | • Conditions for integrating health equity in the CHI process will be diagnosed at the onset and targeted strategies will be suggested for moving upstream in each assessment and phase  
• Baseline requirements for health equity will be built into the redesign with more guidance for communities ready to move further upstream  
• Strategy bank for achieving health equity  
• Health equity communication tools, facilitation guidance, and in-depth training |
| Detailed CHA Guidance | • Tiered guidance around conducting the assessments with guidance and consideration around data methods with varying level of rigor to accommodate communities with limited resources  
• Guidance, tools, formal instruments, and templates for data collection, analysis, and presentation |
| Guidance in conducting the CHA including around data collection and sharing, analysis, and presentation of findings. MAPP communities underscored the need for more flexibility in conducting the CHA based on available resources. | • Tiered guidance around conducting the assessments with guidance and consideration around data methods with varying level of rigor to accommodate communities with limited resources  
• Guidance, tools, formal instruments, and templates for data collection, analysis, and presentation |
| Moving from Assessment to Action | • Assessments will be updated on an ongoing basis instead of repeating across 3-5 year cycle, allowing for more time for action  
• Principles of continuous quality improvement will be embedded across all phases |
| Communities frequently described difficulty transitioning from assessment to action | • Assessments will be updated on an ongoing basis instead of repeating across 3-5 year cycle, allowing for more time for action  
• Principles of continuous quality improvement will be embedded across all phases |
| Structure for Shared Measurement | • Structures and tools for linking community indicators in the CHA to long and short-term outcomes, and process measures  
• Tools for delineating organizational specific metrics from shared metrics  
• Explicit guidance on a shared data dashboard |
| Communities infrequently reported establishing shared measurement structures, with evaluation being one of the most frequently skipped steps of historical MAPP. Further, CHIP implementation often falls to the health department or a select few community partners. | • Structures and tools for linking community indicators in the CHA to long and short-term outcomes, and process measures  
• Tools for delineating organizational specific metrics from shared metrics  
• Explicit guidance on a shared data dashboard |
| In-depth Training and Guidance Across Topics | • Comprehensive guides, formal instruments, and adaptable templates for conducting each phase  
• Revised MAPP 101 training and series of training on advanced topics including health equity, facilitation, CHAs, and community engagement |
| More advanced training for experienced communities and in-depth trainings focused on skills building. | • Comprehensive guides, formal instruments, and adaptable templates for conducting each phase  
• Revised MAPP 101 training and series of training on advanced topics including health equity, facilitation, CHAs, and community engagement |
THE REVISED MAPP PHASES

NACCHO proposes shifting from a six phase MAPP process to three phases with more structured steps to address field challenges in integrating health equity into CHI processes, authentic community engagement, sustained partner engagement, and shifting from assessment to action and impact. Figure 3 illustrates concepts from previous phases are being maintained but streamlined. The revised phases are summarized below:

Phase 1: Build the CHI Foundation

This phase sets the stage for the MAPP collaborative with a heavy emphasis and guidance around building strategic relationships with new and existing partners. This involves an analysis of the power and influence of various stakeholders to strategically develop the MAPP leadership structures and stakeholder engagement throughout the process. This will also involve cultivating a common understanding of the mission and vision of the MAPP collaborative and the foundational principles of MAPP, including health equity concepts. Further, this phase involves a formal “starting point” assessment of current CHI infrastructure across pre-defined domains to strategically scope the MAPP process based on readiness and resources, and to evaluate and improve the MAPP process and its impact on health equity over time.

Phase 2: Tell the Community Story

Formerly Phase 3: Conduct the MAPP Assessments, this phase results in a comprehensive, accurate, and timely community assessment of health and well-being. The revisions maintain the need for data and information from several perspectives including qualitative and quantitative. However, the revisions add a greater emphasis on understanding health inequities. This phase will also be more ongoing to ensure a more accurate picture of the community and more timely and responsive action. To streamline the assessments, Forces of Change from the historical framework have been integrated across all three revised MAPP assessments which are further detailed in the next section.

**Figure 3: Alignment Across Revised and Historical MAPP Phases**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historical MAPP Framework</th>
<th>Revised MAPP Framework</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase 1: Organize for Success</td>
<td>Phase 1: Build the CHI Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 2: Visioning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 3: Conduct the Assessments</td>
<td>Phase 2: Tell the Community Story</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Community Health Status</td>
<td>• Community Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Local Public Health System</td>
<td>• Community Partner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Community Themes and Strengths</td>
<td>• Community Context</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Forces of Change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 4: Identify Strategic Issues</td>
<td>Phase 3: Continuously Improve the Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 5: Develop Goals &amp; Strategies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 6: The Action Cycle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Phase 3: Continuously Improve the Community

This phase incorporates Phases 4-6 of the historical framework and maintains the emphasis on addressing upstream priorities but offers structured steps around taking health equity action through attention to both transactional and transformational approaches. With an emphasis on strategic partnerships for sustained action, this phase integrates power analyses and partner profiles to appropriately engage those partners best positioned to address inequity as it relates to each CHIP goal. This phase also employs methods of continuous quality improvement and rapid cycle improvement to promote sustained, data-driven action which allows for building an evidence base through small-scale improvements on existing strategies and small-scale testing on new, innovative strategies for health equity action. Further, this phase provides a framework for establishing a shared measurement structure across partners to monitor and evaluate short and long-term impact on CHIP priorities.

These phases are not final and will be subject to revisions based on broad field feedback and pilot testing. Figure 4 below outlines in detail steps in each phase of the process.
### Figure 4: The Revised MAPP Phases (*indicates new step*)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase 1: Build the CHI Foundation</th>
<th>Phase 2: Tell the Community Story</th>
<th>Phase 3: Continuously Improve the Community</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decide to Conduct MAPP 2.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>Form the Assessment Design Team</strong></td>
<td><strong>Prioritize Issues for CHIP</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assess needs against MAPP 2.0 process and foundational principles.</td>
<td>Recruit a team that represents the community and has expertise to coordinate the assessments.</td>
<td>Prioritize top issues for the CHIP based on community voice, assets, impact potential, and other criteria.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lead Agency Conducts Initial power analysis *</th>
<th>Design the Assessments</th>
<th>Conduct Power Analysis on Each Issue *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Analyze stakeholder power and impact of CHI.</td>
<td>Select priority indicators, identify methods, develop instruments, and ensure proper administration.</td>
<td>Assess people and institutions that influence the issues to inform who to engage and how.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Establish/Revisit CHI Leadership Structures</th>
<th>Conduct the Community Partners Assessment (*heavily revised)</th>
<th>Establish Priority Issue Sub-Committees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identify CHI Core and Steering Committees</td>
<td>Assess partners to highlight opportunities to address health determinants and inequities.</td>
<td>Partners and community members self-identify for Priority Issue Sub-committees based on assets/skills/experience. Committee chairs join the CHI Leadership structures.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engage and Orient Leadership Committees</th>
<th>Conduct the Community Status Assessment</th>
<th>Create Community Partner Profiles *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Onboard to establish baseline understanding of CHI, health equity, and community engagement.</td>
<td>Quantitatively describe status of community.</td>
<td>Each partner completes a Partner Profile worksheet to demonstrate alignment of organizational mission, current work, priority issues, and community indicators.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Define Community and Develop the CHI Mission *</th>
<th>Conduct the Community Context Assessment</th>
<th>Develop Shared Goals and Long-Term Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Define the community and how each target population will benefit. Develop mission statement for the CHI coalition/collaborative.</td>
<td>Explore lived experience and historical and structural context for inequities.</td>
<td>Sub-committees develop shared long-term goals for transformational change to achieve vision.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Develop a Community Vision</th>
<th>Present Data to Community and identify top issues</th>
<th>Develop Strategies and Conduct (Racial) Equity Impact Assessment as Appropriate *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Develop a long-range vision which imagines transformative change where all community members have the opportunity for health and well-being.</td>
<td>Present data to the community and collectively select top issues.</td>
<td>Members identify new short-term, transactional strategies tailored to needs of specific populations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Revised MAPP Assessments

Community Status Assessment

The Community Status Assessment largely aligns with MAPP’s former Community Health Status Assessment and quantitatively describes the community, including demographics, health status, contributing factors (e.g., SDOH), health equity indicators, and across all these variables, existing inequities. Forces of change in the community are explored through a trend analysis of indicators over time to understand things like demographic shifts, unemployment rates, or insurance coverage. This foundational assessment also elucidates both data gaps and inequities that need to be further explored through additional assessments. Although this assessment is largely similar to the former version, specific changes being made include dropping the term “health” to emphasize the need to go beyond health indicators to understand contributing factors and root causes such as civic participation, predatory lending, and mass incarceration; tiered guidance for data collection methods with varying levels of rigor to meet communities where they are; a recommended list of indicators; and a compendium of secondary data sources. Informed by field feedback, NACCHO will offer guidance to adopt more ongoing and iterative assessment processes that are timely and build on, rather than repeat, past assessments. In cases where granular data are not available for sub-populations, particularly those that have been historically marginalized, guidance will be offered on how to gather data to better understand health status and its determinants through other assessments.
Community Partners Assessment

Replacing the LPHSA, the Community Partners Assessment provides structure for all community partners to look critically within their own systems and processes, reflect on their role in the community’s health and well-being, and understand the degree to which they are addressing or perpetuating health inequities across a spectrum of action ranging from the individual to systemic and structural levels. It will offer an assessment instrument which, in contrast to the LPHSA, will be inclusive of but not be grounded in the 10 Essential Public Health Services to broaden its relevance to community partners outside health and human service sectors. This assessment includes the following domains:

- **Health Equity Capacity**: Assesses each partner’s understanding and commitment to health equity and related concepts, their role in addressing inequities, and analysis of existing interventions, programs, and services across a spectrum of action including individual, organizational, systemic, and structural level. These results can be used to assist each partner in identifying opportunities to move upstream in their own work and identify gaps across the spectrum that may be addressed through the CHIP.

- **Community Engagement**: Assesses each partner’s relationship with, and relative power in, the community (e.g., history of allyship or mistrust); success in meeting community needs; and opportunities for the community to participate in shaping programs, services, or other activities designed to help them. These results can be used to transfer power to those historically excluded from decision making.

- **Resources**: Assesses partner resources to meet community needs and how those resources are aligned to meet the needs of specific sub-populations. This data may inform decisions around funding and realigning resources to better meet the needs of those experiencing inequities.

- **Community Linkages**: Assesses capacity to coordinate and align with other partners and stakeholders within the community system to improve overall quality, efficiency, and effectiveness of programs, services, and interventions to address inequities. Also assesses how partners are building allies and networks with those holding power. These results may be used to identify gaps or opportunities to make improvements to the community system at large.

- **Leadership**: Assesses each partner’s leadership support around both achieving equity as it relates to their mission and participation in the MAPP process. Results of this assessment may assist each partner to strengthen its position in the community to achieve its mission from an equity and CHI lens.
• **Workforce:** Each partner assesses whether their respective workforce is skilled, sufficient, and representative of community demographics to meet community needs and address inequities.

• **Policy Analysis:** Assesses each partner's internal organizational policies from an equity lens, and public policies which support or impede its ability to impact inequities in the community. These results can be used to identify concrete strategies for organizational, community, and public policy level change.

• **Data Access and Systems:** Inventories available assessments and data available across partners that may inform and contribute to the larger CHA; explores opportunities for data sharing and transparency across the community; and assesses each partner’s data infrastructure for ongoing monitoring and evaluation to track its own impact on inequities and identify opportunities for shared measurement and evaluation in the CHI process.

• **Forces of Change:** Provides a structure for each partner to reflect on the forces of change (e.g., disease outbreaks/public health emergencies, political climate, market shifts, funding) impacting its work and future scenario planning to identify the specific set of uncertainties of what may happen in the future. These results may be used to plan for different realities to enhance adaptability and preparation for most effectively building community resilience.

Results of this assessment are designed to be compiled across all sectors and triangulated with the other two MAPP assessments to take a community-wide approach to address SDOH and root causes of inequity through the CHIP.
Community Context Assessment

A community context assessment provides rich perspectives and strengths-based data from those with lived experience, as well as a deep analysis of historical, systemic, and structural information which elucidate the root causes of inequity. The Community Context Assessment builds on MAPP’s former Community Themes and Strengths Assessment, digging deeper to understand the inequities identified in the Status Assessment, fill in data gaps, and explore the context of the community through the lens of those with lived experience. This assessment will be designed to move beyond perceived community needs and perpetuation of dependency on programs and services to understanding a community’s strengths, assets, and culture, recognizing that all communities have a vibrancy that must be leveraged in community improvement. This assessment will also intersect with the Community Partners Assessment, highlighting how community members may work with partners to co-design and implement solutions. Further, this assessment will explore historical policies, events, and other societal structures that have shaped the community and offer insight into what created the inequities in the first place. Specific domains assessed include:

- **Lived Experience**: The perceptions, insights, values, culture and priorities of those experiencing inequities
- **Community Member Strengths**: Strengths and assets possessed by community members (e.g., skills, education, job experience)
- **Built Environment**: Asset mapping of the built environment within neighborhoods experiencing the greatest inequities (e.g., public transit, complete streets, library)
- **Forces of Change**: Exploration of forces of change and how they impact communities through the lens of those with lived experience (e.g., factory closing, political climate)
- **Historical and Structural Oppression Analysis**: Research of the community’s history to understand the institutional and structural root causes of inequities (e.g., redlining, segregation) and existing systems and policies that perpetuate the inequities.

All three assessments should not be viewed as discrete but interconnected, resulting in a more comprehensive picture of the community system that informs action in **Phase 3: Continuously Improve the Community**. Examining data from each assessment will ensure that findings are not biased toward one perspective and protect against quantitative data overriding the voice of the community. Guidance will be provided in the MAPP redesign to assist communities in triangulating data to ensure data driven CHIP priorities.
Figure 5 visualizes the overlap across assessments and how elimination of any assessment would leave gaps in important insights needed for action.

MAPP EVOLUTION: WHAT TO EXPECT NEXT

Through Fall 2022, NACCHO intends to engage the field in the Design phase of the MAPP evolution process, which will involve redesigning the MAPP phases and assessments as outlined above, along with trainings, resources, and supports to ensure the field is equipped for successful implementation. Following the design phase, NACCHO intends to pilot test the new framework between Fall 2022–Fall 2023 prior to its launch. A high-level summary of next steps is presented in Figure 6. As NACCHO progresses through the Design phase, it remains committed to providing ample opportunity to the field to give feedback, stay informed, and pilot test materials as they are developed. NACCHO encourages communities to move forward with their MAPP and CHI processes as the redesign is moving forward as this important work cannot be put on hold. Throughout the MAPP evolution process, NACCHO is committed to supporting communities in implementation of the current framework, offer
improvement opportunities through our learnings from MAPP evolution, and ongoing updates on progress as the revisions move forward. By Summer 2021, NACCHO intends to launch a MAPP Virtual Community to facilitate peer learning and support across communities.

**Figure 6: MAPP Evolution Next Steps**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fall 2020 – Fall 2021</th>
<th>Develop the new MAPP Handbook to reflect framework revisions</th>
<th>Develop a guide to the Community Status Assessment</th>
<th>Develop Community Partners Assessment and facilitation guidance</th>
<th>Develop MAPP virtual community and new MAPP resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2021 – Fall 2022</td>
<td>Develop guidance for the Community Context Assessment</td>
<td>Develop revised training for onboarding MAPP Coordinators</td>
<td>Develop training curriculum for ‘advanced’ MAPP topics</td>
<td>Develop supplemental tools to support implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2022 – Fall 2023</td>
<td>Pilot test revised MAPP framework with diverse communities</td>
<td>Finalize revised framework</td>
<td>Launch the new MAPP framework</td>
<td>Continue to develop advanced MAPP trainings (ongoing)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This anticipated timeline may change, based upon funding and the content development process.

This action plan is based on the best knowledge NACCHO has to date of field needs and anticipated funding to redesign and deliver the framework. As NACCHO continues to collect field feedback and secure additional funding, specific timelines or products may shift over the years.

If you have questions or would like to inform the MAPP redesign, please e-mail NACCHO staff at [pi@naccho.org](mailto:pi@naccho.org).
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